WOLLATON AND LENTON ABBEY AREA COMMITTEE – 13th JULY 2010

| Title of paper: | WARD MEMBER BUDGET ALLOCATION 2010/11 | |
|--|---|--------------------------|
| Director(s)/ | Lianne Taylor Acting Director for | r Wards affected: |
| Corporate Director(s): | Local Communities | Wollaton East and Lenton |
| | | Abbey; Wollaton West |
| Contact Officer(s) and | Steve Harrison, Area Manager, | |
| contact details: | Tel: 8838 467 | |
| | Email; steve.harrison@nottinghamcity.gov.uk | |
| Other officers who have | Geoff Walker, Strategic Finance Manager | |
| provided input: | Tel 9158777 | |
| | geoff.walker@nottinghamcity.gov.uk | |
| | | |
| Relevant Council Plan theme(s): | | |
| Choose Nottingham | | |
| Respect for Nottingham | | |
| Transforming Nottingham's Neighbourhoods X | | |
| Supporting Nottingham People | | |
| Serving Nottingham Better | | |
| | | |
| Summary of issues (including benefits to customers/service users): | | |
| | | |
| This report outlines Ward member budget allocation for 2010/11 | | |

Recommendation(s):

- Note that each member has been allocated an individual Ward member budget allocation of £9,000, which Area Committees are asked to divide between an Area Allocation Budget and individual Ward Support Budgets.
- Notes that any unspent monies in individual Ward member Support Budgets at the end of the Municipal Year will be reallocated to the relevant Area Committees Area Allocation budget.

1 BACKGROUND

- 1.1 In 2009/10 each Ward member was allocated £10,000 to support their role as "Champion of Place". For 2010/11 each ward member is allocated £9,000 to support this role.
- 1.2 However, it is also recognised that there will still be a need for a central funding pot to support grants to individuals and groups within the voluntary sector and inter-ward, area-wide and cross-cutting issues. Grants to individuals and groups must be made by Area Committee to ensure transparency.

2 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

- 2.1 To support the Ward member role as "Champion of Place" and provide a platform for a further focus to the top 5% most deprived wards.
- 2.2 To ensure value for money and transparency of the decision making process.

3 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 None
- 4 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (INCLUDING VALUE FOR MONEY)
- 4.1 Appendix 1 outlines allocation of monies for each ward member and Area committee area, showing additional allocations depending on IMD ranking.
- 4.2 The continued allocation of Ward member budgets and the continued use of delegated approval proformas are within current legal powers. The process described in the report provides a lawful basis for taking expenditure decisions.
- 5 RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES (INCLUDING LEGAL IMPLICATIONS, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT IMPLICATIONS AND EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS)
- 5.1 The funds allocated to this Committee are used to address the diverse needs of the various sections of the community and reduce inequalities within the community.
- 6 <u>LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR THOSE</u>
 DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION
- 6.1 Nominal Ledgers
- 7 PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT
- 7.1 Indices of Multiple Deprivation 2007